

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ proposals ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವರಾಜಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಯಾವ ಸ್ಟೇಜ್ ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ.

Co-operative Agricultural Colony at Nayandrahalli.

Q.—1034. Sri J. NARAYANAPPA (Chintamani—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether orders have been issued to provide bullocks for carrying on the cultivation to 20 families consisting of very poor Harijans of Nayandrahalli in the Kasaba Hobli of Chintamani Taluk in accordance with the new scheme launched to start a Co-operative Agricultural Colony at the place ;

(b) whether they will now provide the bullocks since the present time is very favourable to start the agricultural operations ;

(c) whether any records have been made to provide irrigation facilities for a plot of about 60 acres in the said colony by means of providing a well as per the new scheme ;

(d) if so, the stage at which the said record stands ;

(e) whether a well would be provided since the present time is very favourable ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of answer to (a).

(c) Yes. Estimates for an irrigation well and an electric pump are prepared.

(d) The estimate is being sent up to Government for sanction.

(e) There is provision for a well as stated in reply to clause (c).

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಲೋನಿಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಯಾವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಕಾಲೋನಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಯಾಗಿ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಯಾವ ಅನುಕೂಲವೂ ದೊರೆತಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂಬುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ವಿಚಾರಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Excise dues outstanding in the State.

Q.—150. Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY (Goribidnur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the excise dues outstanding in the State since 1947 ; the names of the contractors ; and amounts due for each year ;

(b) whether they have allowed any concessions out of these dues ; if so, the name of the contractor and the amount of concession allowed in each case ;

(c) what is the action taken for the recovery of these dues ?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Rs. 13,36,893-4-2 on 1st December 1953. For the names of the contractors and amounts due, please see the statement placed on the table. (Copy placed in the Legislature Library No. 26/L.A. of 1954).

(b) Out of 173 contractors from whom arrears are due, time for payment has been granted only to five of them mentioned below:—

Sriyuths—

(1) Y. C. Muniswamiah,

(2) H. Kanniah Reddy,

(3) K. G. Basappa,

(4) N. S. Bhaskara Rao,

(5) Kasi Rao.

(c) Action is being taken to recover the dues (vide statement placed on the table).

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Out of this long list of 173, are there any applications for concessions pending in the Government still ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—May I know how many ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—At the time of entering into contract, do you not take the property list and solvency certificate?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—When that is the case, what is the reason to write off a sum of Rs. 22,000—a heavy sum—due from one N. Rangappa?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is not correct.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Which is not correct, may I know?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No remission has been given.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—But you have stated here that Government had asked for the return of the balance before 31st March 1953. But you say it is not correct.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Previously remission had been sanctioned. But as he failed to pay half the arrears within the prescribed time, the remission originally sanctioned was cancelled.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Though there was property why have you allowed the write off of such a heavy amount; I want to know?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It has not been written off. Partial remission was given to him.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—In the case of item No. 5, it is said: 'Compensation question is still pending'; have not the Government previously passed orders that due to financial stringency, their application has been rejected?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Regarding compensation, it does not arise out of this question.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—You have mentioned here: 'The payment of compensation to this contractor is pending with the Government'; may I know how it is not relevant? The question of compensation does arise, Sir.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—With reference to the specific question you have asked, it does not arise.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—I want to know whether this question is pending with the Government even now?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is pending with the Government.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Previous to this application received, have not the Government passed orders stating that due to financial stringency, they have rejected that application?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I may invite the attention of the Hon'ble Member to the question asked by one of the Hon'ble Members of this House on 22nd July 1953. All these questions have been asked and answered.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—I want an answer for my question now.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please repeat your question.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Previously there was an application from Thimmappa for compensation and Government in their order have rejected the application on the plea of financial stringency; how is it that this application is still said to be pending with the Government?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As I said, the question of compensation does not arise out of this question. That question has been raised previously and it has been sufficiently answered. I invite the attention of Hon'ble Member to Question 295 put by Sri A. Bheemappa Naik.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Was there any Government Order rejecting his application for compensation, I want a specific answer.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—This question is with reference to the recovery of arrears. It is not a question of payment of compensation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The statement is placed on the table. It says: "Sale of properties offered towards security of contract was fixed by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, and the result of the sale has not been reported."

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—But I want to know whether they have not received an application even though they have passed a Government order rejecting the previous application?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If an application is filed, it has to be received; what else could be done? Even if it is true that the Government had previously turned down the request

for any compensation, if another application is filed, we have to entertain it and pass orders on the merits of the application.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—May I know at what stage it is now, Sir?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is still under examination and it is not yet disposed of.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—May I know in the case of Sri Y. C. Muniswamiah how many times you have allowed time for collection? You say three months. Have you not subsequently twice or thrice allowed time for the collection of these arrears?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Ministers directly interfere with the collection of these arrears and that is mainly or wholly responsible for accruing of such heavy sum as Rs. 13,00,000; can I take it like that?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I repudiate that insinuation, Sir.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It is not an insinuation. He himself admits that he has given sufficient time. Not only to that person; have you not allowed Sri K G. Basappa even though it was four or five times?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—There was no intention to make Government suffer any loss. Sometimes they ask for 15 days' time and considering the merits of each case, we give time sometimes to collect the amount. There is no question of allowing Government to suffer financially, I may assure the Hon'ble Member.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Sir, in many cases either the property offered is not sufficient to cover the dues and even in such cases you have allowed time and you have not taken proper action in regard to this matter. In the case of Sri Y. C. Muniswamiah, Sl. No. 17, what is the property offered by that contractor as security, Sir?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is stated here that the Deputy Commissioner is requested to proceed against the property as the time has expired on 30th December 1953.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Has he got property at all, I want to know.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes Sir. I want notice to say that.

9 A.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದೆ 1937-38ರಲ್ಲಿ ರೆಮಿಷ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೆ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಎಷ್ಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ರೆಮಿಷ್ಕಾಗಿವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—1,25,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕರಿಯಪ್ಪ.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಕಾರಣವಿತ್ತೆ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Details are not available.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಹಣ ಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಬರಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಅಡ್ಡಿ ಬರಬೇಕೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಿನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ರೈಟ್—ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಹಕ್ಕಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಗೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವೇನು?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have said, I will consider.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—1951-52ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರೂಪ್ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sir, compensation question does not arise out of this question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಧ್ವಪ್ಪ.—1950-51ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಟ್ರಾಕ್ಟರು ಯಾರು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಧ್ವಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಪರಿಹಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಕಾರಣವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—They have stated that those things are under examination.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಧ್ವಪ್ಪ.—1937-38ರಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕುಪ್ಪಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ರೆಮಿಷ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister requires notice to give all such details.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—In serial No. 101, it is said, 'shops kept for resale.' I want to know whether the shops were resold?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Please see the statement I have placed on the table. This is the information obtained from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I want to know whether the shops are resold?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister wants notice for that.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What steps have Government taken to recover the arrears outstanding on 1st December 1953?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts have been instructed to take steps to recover the arrears from the defaulters.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that private properties belonging to this gentleman were sold?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I want notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that after the sale took place and was confirmed that there has been a stay order issued by Government to the effect that no further steps need be taken?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is under examination.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What is under examination, Sir?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The question whether the sale is valid, etc.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I want to know whether it is not a fact that the properties belonging to this gentleman were sold?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that the sale order was confirmed also by Government?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Sir, is it not a fact that the Government issued a stay order directing that the person who purchased the property at a sale should not be given possession of the property?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, the stay order was issued on receipt of the appeal petition. But as I said, the matter is under the consideration of Government now.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know whether it has not come to the notice of the Government that the sale has been confirmed by the Deputy Commissioner?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Then, how is it that the Government issued a stay order?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, this is a time for asking information;

we say either 'yes' or 'no.' We cannot go on explaining.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Government have admitted that stay order has been issued. I want to know why the stay order was issued?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister cannot remember the details now. How is it possible to remember all that?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಎ. ನರಸಿಂಹಪ್ಪ.—1950-51ಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಿ, ಈಗಿನದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಏಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You put a question.

Sri D. DEVARAJA URS.—Was there any application during the year 1951-52 asking for remission?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is said 'Yes'.

Road from Hangla to Hulle village, Gundlupet Taluk.

Q.—603. **Sri SIDDIAH alias KUNNIAH** (Gundlupet—Heggaddevanakote—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether an assurance was not given to put up a road from Hangla in Gundlupet Taluk to Hulle Village.

(b) the reason for their not so far getting the said work done?

A.—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಗುಂಡ್ಲುಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹಂಗಳದಿಂದ ಹುಲ್ಲೇ ಗ್ರಾಮಕ್ಕೆ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮಾಡುವ ದಾಗಿ ಭರವಸೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ರಸ್ತೆ ಮಾಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ.)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಕ್ಷಾಮವಿದ್ದಾಗ ಗುಂಡ್ಲುಪೇಟೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಚಂಗಲರಾಯ ರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು ಪ್ರವಾಸಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I have no information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಶಿವರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರು ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇರುವುದನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ನಾಕು.